# BEFORE THE OFFICE OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BOARD OF ELECTIONS AND ETHICS FRANK D. REEVES MUNICIPAL BUILDING 2000-14<sup>th</sup> STREET, N.W., SUITE 420 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009 (202) 671-0550

IN THE MATTER OF

(Comparison of the Chief Technology Officer of the Chief Technology Officer

# **ORDER**

#### **Statement of the Case**

This matter came before the Office of Campaign Finance (hereinafter OCF) Office of General Counsel following a determination by its Public Information Records Management Division, that pursuant to the D.C. Code §1-1106.02 (2001 Edition), Karen H. Johnson, Project Analyst, Office of the Chief Technology Officer, failed to timely file, a Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before May 15, 2003 as required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 and also failed to file on or before the OCF sanctioned extended filing deadline of June 19, 2003.

By Notice of Hearing, Statement of Violations and Order of Appearance dated August 7, 2003, OCF ordered Karen H. Johnson (hereinafter respondent), to appear at a scheduled hearing on August 18, 2003 and show cause why she should not be found in violation of the D.C. Campaign Finance Reform and Conflict of Interest Act of 1974, as amended by D.C. Official Code §§1-1101.01 et seq., and fined accordingly.

#### **Summary of Evidence**

OCF alleges that the respondent failed to timely file the statutorily required Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.

On August 11, 2003, OCF received an affidavit from respondent stating she did not respond to the request for financial disclosure because she thought it did not apply to her. Respondent's position was abolished in November 2002, thereby terminating her employment with the District Government. Respondent filed a final FDS with OCF on August 11, 2003.

#### IN THE MATTER OF: Karen H. Johnson

Page 2

#### **Findings of Fact**

Having reviewed the allegations and the record herein, I find:

- 1. Respondent terminated employment with the District Government in November 2002.
- 2. Respondent was required to file a Financial Disclosure Statement with OCF for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.
- 3. Respondent filed the required Financial Disclosure Statement on August 11, 2003.
- 4. Respondent asserts that she believed the filing requirement did not apply to her as her employment had terminated with the District Government.
- 5. OCF provided notice to file if the filer ceases to serve prior to May 15<sup>th</sup> of any year, and within 30 days of any change in information on its Financial Disclosure Statement form.
- 6. Respondent provided a credible explanation for the filing delinquency in that she believed she was not required to file a final FDS upon separation from government service.
- 7. Respondent is currently in compliance with the statute.

### **Conclusions of Law**

Based upon the record provided by OCF, I therefore conclude:

- 1. Respondent violated D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02.
- 2. The penalty established at D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), and 3 DCMR §\$3711.2(aa), 3711.2 and 3711.4 for failure to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 is a fine of \$50.00 per day for each business day subsequent to the due date.

# IN THE MATTER OF: Karen H. Johnson Page 3

- 3. In accordance with D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), the respondent may be fined a maximum of \$1,750.00 for failing to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement.
- 4. For good cause shown pursuant to 3 DCMR §3711.6, the Director of Campaign Finance (Director) may modify, rescind, dismiss or suspend any fine.
- 5. Respondent's explanation for failing to timely file constitutes good cause for suspension of the fine.

## **Recomme ndation**

In view of the foregoing an	d information included in the record, I hereby
recommend that the Director suspend t	he imposition of the fine in this matter.
coommone was the Encoder suspend t	
Doto	Joan Coott Dings
Date	Jean Scott Diggs
	Hearing Officer
Concurrence	
In view of the foregoing I have	by concur with the Decommendation
in view of the foregoing, I field	by concur with the Recommendation.
Date	Kathy S. Williams
	•
	General Counsel

IN THE MATTER OF: Karen H. Johnson Page 4

# **ORDER OF THE DIRECTOR**

IT IS ORDERED that the fine	in this matter be hereby suspended.
Date	Cecily E. Collier-Montgomery Director
SERVI  This is to certify that I have served a tru	ICE OF ORDER  The copy of the foregoing Order.
	Rose Rice Legal Assistant

# **NOTICE**

Pursuant to 3 DCMR §3711.5 (1999), any fine imposed by the Director shall become effective on the 16<sup>th</sup> day following the issuance of a decision and order, if the respondent does not request an appeal of this matter. If applicable, within 10 days of the effective date of this order, please make a check or money order payable to the D.C. Treasurer, c/o Office of Campaign Finance, Suite 420, 2000-14<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009.